

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,570	SCHUG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarang Afzali	3726

All Participants:

(1) Sarang Afzali. (3) _____.

(2) Edward Freedman. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Presented Mr. Freedman with the examiner's amendment suggesting the cancellation of non-elected claims 1-10 in order to put the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)